1	LYSSA S. ANDERSON				
	Nevada Bar No. 5781				
2	KRISTOPHER J. KALKOWSKI				
_	Nevada Bar No. 14892				
3	TRAVIS C. STUDDARD Nevada Bar No. 16454				
4	KAEMPFER CROWELL				
4	1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 650				
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89135				
	Telephone: (702) 792-7000				
6	Fax: (702) 796-7181				
	landerson@kcnvlaw.com				
7	kkalkowski@kcnvlaw.com				
	tstuddard@kcnvlaw.com				
8	Address and Con Defendant				
9	Attorneys for Defendant Angie Santos				
9	Angie Sumos				
0	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
	D.C. D.C. C.				
1	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA			
12	KRISTINA KERLUS, individually,	Case No.: 2:24-cv-02352-APG-DJA			
	Tittle in willere ee, marviadary,	Cuse 1.0 2.21 ev 02332 111 G B011			
13	Plaintiff,				
	vs.	<b>DEFENDANT SANTOS' ANSWER TO</b>			
14		FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT			
	DR. JENNIFER CORNEAL, in her individual	[ECF No. 7]			
15	capacity; A. SANTOS, in her individual				
	capacity; CITY OF LAS VEGAS, a Municipal corporation; and COUNTY OF CLARK, a				
16	Municipal corporation; LAS VEGAS				
17	METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT,				
. /	jointly and severally				
18	, ,				
	Defendants.				
19					
.	Defendant Annie Contro (%I VAMPO Def				
20	Defendant Angle Santos (LVMPD Defe	endant" or "Santos") for her Answer to Plaintiff's			
21	First Amended Complaint, admits, denies and alleges as follows:				
	_				
22	1. Answering Paragraph 1 of Plaint	iff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the			
23	allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore				
		. <b>.</b>			
24	not required to answer the same.				

- 2. Answering Paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 3. Answering Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 4. Answering Paragraph 4 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Santos admits that her P number was 7200, she was employed as a detective with LVMPD, and was acting within the scope of her employment. Santos denies that LVMPD is a department of Defendant Las Vegas.
- 7. Answering Paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Santos affirmatively alleges that she was a sworn police officer with LVMPD and took an oath.
- 8. Answering Paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 9. Answering Paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
  - 10. Answering Paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Santos admits

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 11. Answering Paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 12. Answering Paragraph 12 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 13. Answering Paragraph 13 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 14 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 15. Answering Paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 16. Answering Paragraph 16 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the CT scan speaks for itself.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in

said paragraph and therefore denies the same.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 29. Answering Paragraph 29 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 30. Answering Paragraph 30 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 31. Answering Paragraph 31 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 32. Answering Paragraph 32 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 33. Answering Paragraph 33 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 34. Answering Paragraph 34 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 35. Answering Paragraph 35 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 36. Answering Paragraph 36 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 37. Answering Paragraph 37 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 38. Answering Paragraph 38 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 39. Answering Paragraph 39 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos admits the allegations contained herein.
- 40. Answering Paragraph 40 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos admits the allegations contained herein.
- 41. Answering Paragraph 41 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained therein.
- 42. Answering Paragraph 42 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 43. Answering Paragraph 43 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos admits the allegations contained herein.
- 44. Answering Paragraph 44 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 45. Answering Paragraph 45 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.

- 46. Answering Paragraph 46 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 47. Answering Paragraph 47 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Santos affirmatively alleges that her report speaks for itself. Santos denies the allegations that the contents of her report contained deliberate falsehoods.
- 48. Answering Paragraph 48 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Santos admits she submitted a declaration of arrest report which speaks for itself. Santos denies the remainder of said paragraph.
- 49. Answering Paragraph 49 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 50. Answering Paragraph 50 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.
- 51. Answering paragraph 51 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Matshes' report speaks for itself.
- 52. Answering paragraph 52 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Corneal's autopsy report speaks for itself.
- 53. Answering paragraph 53 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Matshes' report speaks for itself.
- 54. Answering Paragraph 54 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
  - 55. Answering paragraph 55 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Shaller's report

23

speaks for itself.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 56. Answering Paragraph 56 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 57. Answering Paragraph 57 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 58. Answering Paragraph 58 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 59. Answering Paragraph 59 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.
- 60. Answering Paragraph 60 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.
- 61. Answering Paragraph 61 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos repeats and realleges her answers to the preceding paragraphs, inclusive, and incorporates the same by reference as though fully set forth therein.
- 62. Answering Paragraph 62 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 63. Answering Paragraph 63 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
  - 64. Answering Paragraph 64 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without

- 65. Answering Paragraph 65 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos repeats and realleges her answers to the preceding paragraphs, inclusive, and incorporates the same by reference as though fully set forth therein.
- 66. Answering Paragraph 66 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 67. Answering Paragraph 67 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 68. Answering Paragraph 68 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.
- 69. Answering Paragraph 69 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 70. Answering Paragraph 70 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos repeats and realleges her answers to the preceding paragraphs, inclusive, and incorporates the same by reference as though fully set forth therein.
- 71. Answering Paragraph 71 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 72. Answering Paragraph 72 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 73. Answering Paragraph 73 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.
- 74. Answering Paragraph 74 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 75. Answering Paragraph 75 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos repeats and realleges her answers to the preceding paragraphs, inclusive, and incorporates the same by reference as though fully set forth therein.
- 76. Answering Paragraph 76 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 77. Answering Paragraph 77 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 78. Answering Paragraph 78 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 79. Answering Paragraph 79 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 80. Answering Paragraph 80 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
  - 81. Answering Paragraph 81 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the

not required to answer the same.

6

10 11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

8	32.	Answering Paragraph 82 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without	ut
knowled	lge or i	formation sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained	in
said para	agraph	and therefore denies the same.	

allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore

- 83. Answering Paragraph 83 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 84. Answering Paragraph 84 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 85. Answering Paragraph 85 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.
- 86. Answering Paragraph 86 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos repeats and realleges her answers to the preceding paragraphs, inclusive, and incorporates the same by reference as though fully set forth therein.
- 87. Answering Paragraph 87 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 88. Answering Paragraph 88 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Santos affirmatively alleges that she was not employed by Defendant Las Vegas and, as such, would not have received employee training from it.
- 89. Answering Paragraph 89 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 90. Answering Paragraph 90 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Santos affirmatively alleges that evaluating evidence to determine if probable cause supports a declaration of warrant was a regular function of her employment as a detective at LVMPD.
- 91. Answering Paragraph 91 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 92. Answering Paragraph 92 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.
- 93. Answering Paragraph 93 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 94. Answering Paragraph 94 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 95. Answering Paragraph 95 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.
- 96. Answering Paragraph 96 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos repeats and realleges her answers to the preceding paragraphs, inclusive, and incorporates the same by reference as though fully set forth therein.
- 97. Answering Paragraph 97 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.
- 98. Answering Paragraph 98 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.

- 99. Answering Paragraph 99 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph and therefore denies the same.
- 100. Answering Paragraph 100 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Santos affirmatively alleges that evaluating evidence to determine if probable cause supports a declaration of warrant was a regular function of her employment as a detective at LVMPD.
- 101. Answering Paragraph 101 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.
- 102. Answering Paragraph 102 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.
- 103. Answering Paragraph 103 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.
- 104. Answering Paragraph 104 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.
- 105. Answering Paragraph 105 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.
- 106. Answering Paragraph 106 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos repeats and realleges her answers to the preceding paragraphs, inclusive, and incorporates the same by reference as though fully set forth therein.
- 107. Answering Paragraph 107 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos alleges that the allegations contained therein call for non-factual, legal conclusions and the Defendant is therefore not required to answer the same.
- 108. Answering Paragraph 108 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.

23

- 109. Answering Paragraph 109 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.
- 110. Answering the Prayer for Damages of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Santos denies the allegations contained herein.

## **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

Further, as affirmative defenses to each and all of Plaintiff's alleged "causes of action," Santos alleges:

- Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint on file herein fails to state a claim against
   Santos upon which relief can be granted.
- 2. Some or all of the Plaintiff's claims are barred by the statute of limitations and the claims notice statute.
- 3. Santos' actions were reasonable and justified under the circumstances and were privileged.
- 4. At all times mentioned in Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Santos acted under the good faith belief that her actions were legally justifiable.
- 5. The arrest, identification and imprisonment of Plaintiff, was completely justified and privileged under the circumstances alleged and was perfected pursuant to probable cause and reasonable cause in believing the Plaintiff had committed a criminal act.
- 6. There can be no recovery for punitive damages against any government official acting in his or her official capacity, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 7. No award of punitive damages can be awarded against Santos under the facts and circumstances alleged in Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.
  - 8. Plaintiff's claims are subject to the doctrines of waiver and/or estoppel.
  - 9. At all times mentioned in Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Santos did not

23

personally participate in any of the Plaintiff's alleged violations of her constitutional rights.

To the extent Plaintiff's causes of actions against Santos sound in negligence, no

Plaintiff's suit fails to state claim for relief as she fails to allege a violation of right,

2

10.

11.

recovery can be had predicated upon 42 USC § 1983.

45

privilege, or immunity secured by the United States Constitution or by the laws of the United

6

States.

12. Santos is qualifiedly immune from the actions alleged against them in Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.

9

8

13. The Nevada Revised Statutes, chapter 41, limit the damages that may be collectable against a political subdivision of the State of Nevada and its employees.

10

11

14. Santos is not subject to suit upon the facts and conclusions as stated in Plaintiff's

12

First Amended Complaint by reason of LVMPD's sovereign immunity as a political subdivision

13

of the State of Nevada and more particularly by reason of the provisions of NRS 41.031, 41.032,

14

15

41.033.

16.

15. Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint concerns a discretionary function of Santos'

16

employment for which Santos is immune.

17

18

as Plaintiff has not alleged sufficient basis from which a constitutional interest might arise in

Plaintiff's claims of a constitutional violation are unsupported in both fact and law,

19

conjunction with the alleged actions.

substantive due process violation.

20

17. The district attorney and the Court made independent determinations of probable cause and therefore there is an intervening or superseding cause.

2122

18. Santos engaged in no conduct shocking to the conscience to be held liable for a

23

24

19. Santos acted at all pertinent times in accordance with applicable state and federal

1	laws, and under legal authority.		
2	20. Santos' conduct did not violate clearly established statutory and constitutional		
3	rights of which a reasonable person could have known.		
4	21. Pursuant to FRCP 11, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged		
5	herein insofar as insufficient facts were available after reasonable inquiry upon the filing of		
6	Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, and therefore, Santos reserves the right to amend her Answer		
7	to the First Amended Complaint to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent		
8	investigation so warrants.		
9	WHEREFORE, Santos prays for judgment as follows:		
10	1. That Plaintiff takes nothing by virtue of her First Amended Complaint on file		
11	herein, and that the same be dismissed with prejudice;		
12	2. For an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred in the defense		
13	of this action;		
14	3. For such other and further relief that this Court may deem just and proper in the		
15	premises.		
16	DATED this 28th day of May, 2025.		
17	KAEMPFER CROWELL		
18			
19	By: /s/Lyssa S. Anderson LYSSA S. ANDERSON (Nevada Bar No. 5781)		
20	KRISTOPHER J. KALKOWSKI (Nevada Bar No. 14892) TRAVIS C. STUDDARD (Nevada Bar No. 16454)		
21	1980 Festival Plaza Drive, Suite 650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135		
22	Attorneys for Defendant		
23	Angie Santos		
24			

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 1 2 I certify that I am an employee of KAEMPFER CROWELL, and that on the date below, I caused the foregoing DEFENDANT SANTOS' ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED 3 **COMPLAINT** [ECF No. 7] to be served via CM/ECF and/or First Class Mail (where indicated) 4 addressed to the following: 5 Antonio M. Romanucci Robert W. Freeman 6 Patrick Driscoll Frank A. Toddre, II **ROMANUCCI & BLANDIN** E. Matthew Freeman 7 321 North Clark St., Ste. 900 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH Chicago, IL 60654 6385 S. Rainbow Blvd., Ste. 600 8 Phone: 312-458-1000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 Fax: 312-458-1004 Telephone: 702.893.3383 9 Facsimile: 702.893.3789 aromanucci@rblaw.net patrickdriscoll7@gmail.com Robert.Freeman@lewisbrisbois.com 10 Frank.Toddre@lewisbrisbois.com Matt.Freeman@lewisbrisbois.com Attorneys for Plaintiff 11 Attorneys for Defendants 12 Dr. Jennifer Corneal and County of Clark 13 Paul S. Padda Wolfgang Mueller MUELLE LAW FIRM Ravi Chanderraj 14 PAUL PADDA LAW 41850 W. 11 Mile Rd., Ste 101 4560 South Decatur Blvd., Ste. 300 Novi, MI 48375 15 Las Vegas, NV 89103 Phone: 248-459-9653 Phone: (702) 366-1888 Fax: 248-347-6630 16 Fax: 702-366-1940 wolf@wolfmuelleraw.com Email: psp@paulpaddalaw.com 17 Email: rchanderraj@paulpaddalaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff 18 Attorneys for Plaintiff (Via U.S. Mail) 19 20 DATED this 28th day of May, 2025. 21 22 an employee of Kaempfer Crowell 23

KAEMPFER CROWELL 1980 Festival Plaza Drive Suite 650 Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

24

6943.335